

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	LICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRS		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/691,001	10/22/2003	Alberto Lee Bigio	6772-1 CIP-2-DIV	5665	
75	590 10/12/2006	EXAMINER			
FLEIT, KAIN, GIBBONS, GUTMAN, BONGINI & BIANOCO, P.L.			SPISICH, MARK		
Suite 100	IANOCO, P.L.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
750 S.E. Third.	- · <del></del>	1744			
Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33316-1153			DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/691,001		BIGIO, ALBERTO LEE					
		Examiner		Art Unit	<del></del>				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Mark Spisich		1744					
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	f this communication ap	ppears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail - If NO period for reply is specified abor - Failure to reply within the set or exter Any reply received by the Office later earned patent term adjustment. See	FROM THE MAILING I under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. ng date of this communication. ve, the maximum statutory period ided period for reply will, by statul than three months after the mailin	DATE OF THIS CC .136(a). In no event, howe d will apply and will expire to te, cause the application to	MMUNICATION EVER, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to be become ABANDONE	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this co.  (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) Responsive to commu	ınication(s) filed on	•		·					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		— is action is non-fina	al.						
3) Since this application	is in condition for allowa	ance except for for	mal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is				
closed in accordance	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are p	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are	)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are	-	•							
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are sub	ject to restriction and/or	r election requirem	ent.						
Application Papers									
9) ☐ The specification is ob	jected to by the Examin	ier.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is ma a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c	☐ None of:			-(d) or (f).					
	of the priority documen			on No					
	of the priority document ertified copies of the prio		• •	·	Stane				
•	the International Burea	-		d in this Hational C	Stage				
* See the attached detail		,		d.					
	•		,	-					
Attachmont/c)									
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO)	-892)	41 🗆	Interview Summan	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Notice of Informal Particles of Informal Particles of Informal Particles of Information (Information Information I	atent Application					
aper 110(3)/Mail Date									

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: (1) Fig 10; (2) Fig 11; (3) Fig 12A; (4) Fig 12B; (5) Fig 12C; (6) Figs 13A-13B; (7) Fig 14A; (8) Fig 14B; (9) Fig 15A; (10) Fig 15B; (11) Fig 15C; (12) Fig 16 and (13) Figs 17-18. The species are independent or distinct because the noted species are, unless otherwise indicated by applicant, assumed to be patentably distinct embodiments or versions of the disclosed and claimed invention.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic to all of the embodiments.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Application/Control Number: 10/691,001

Art Unit: 1744

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Spisich whose telephone number is (571) 272-1278. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (5:30-3:00), Alternate Fri off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran can be reached on (571) 272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark Spisich Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

MS